

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 09/912,112	Applicant(s) ISHIKAWA ET AL.	
	Examiner Rudy Zervigon	Art Unit 1763	

All Participants:

(1) Rudy Zervigon.

(2) Keith Tacket.

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 4 August 2006

Time: 10:30

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: _____

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

All pending

Claims discussed:

All pending

Prior art documents discussed:

None


Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

I called Mr. Tacket on the 3rd to inquire on the disposition of the case. Mr. Tacket returned my phone call the following day confirming Applicant's intentions not to pursue the prosecution.

Part III.

- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)